

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/709,767 05/27/2004 Hung-Lieh Hu 13292-US-PA 3766 **EXAMINER** 31561 7590 04/28/2006 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE GARCIA JR, RENE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2** TAIPEI, 100 2853 **TAIWAN**

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/709,767	HU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rene Garcia, Jr.	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		•
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		-
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have beer	received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmont(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/709,767 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to Group I, classified in class 347, subclass 9.
 - II. Claims 17-20, drawn to Group II, classified in class 347, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because printhead controller buffer circuit does not require: a first resistor, first FET, second resistor and a second FET; a first FET, second FET, third FET and fourth FET; a first resistor, first FET, second FET and a third FET; a first resistor, first FET, second FET, third FET and a fourth FET. The subcombination has separate utility such as determine printhead identification information.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

(If applicant elects Group I, the applicant is also directed to an election of species as presented below)

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, Figure 4

Species II, Figure 5

Species III, Figure 6

Species IV, Figure 7

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 2853

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Communication with the USPTO

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rene Garcia, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rene Garcia Jr

17 April 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5